

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-13 are pending in the application. Claims 1-10 and 12-13 are rejected. Claim 11 is objected to. Claims 1 and 11-13 have been amended. New claim 14 has been added. No claims have been cancelled. Further consideration is requested in view of the above claim amendments and the following remarks.

Claim 11 stands objected to as including informalities. In response, claim 11 has been amended in the manner suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 10 and 12-13 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Harju et al, ("A Flexible Rake Receiver Architecture for WCDMA Mobile Terminals", Digital and Computer Systems Laboratory, Tampere University of Technology, Tampere, Finland, March 20-23, 2001). The rejection of claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 10 and 12-13, as now amended, is respectfully traversed.

Independent claims 1, 12, and 13 have each been amended to claim a plurality of multiplexers coupled to the delay line for providing early, in-time, and late outputs. No new matter has been added to claims 1, 12, and 13. Clear support is shown in FIG. 3 and accompanying specification text. Harju et al does not teach the use of a plurality of multiplexers coupled to the delay line for providing early, in-time, and late outputs. Claims 1, 12, and 13 are not anticipated by the cited reference because each claim contains at least one limitation not taught therein. The remaining dependent claims are deemed to be allowable as being dependent upon allowable base claim 1 for the reasons discussed above.

Claims 3, 6 and 8-9 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harju et al in view of Medlock et al (US 20020037027). The rejection of claims 3, 6 and 8-9 is respectfully traversed. Claims 3, 6 and 8-9 are deemed to be allowable as being dependent upon allowable base claim 1 for the


reasons discussed above.

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in proper independent form. New claim 14 is deemed to be allowable as including all of the limitations of previous claims 1, 3, 8, and 11. Claim 14 has also been amended to correct the informalities associated with previous claim 11.

In view of all of the above, claims 1-14 are now believed to be allowable and the case in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

This Amendment is submitted with a Petition for Extension of Time. Please charge deposit account no. 50-1123 \$130 for One Month Extension of Time. No additional fee is believed due for this submittal. However, any fee deficiency associated with this submittal may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1123.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter J. Meza, No. 32,920  
Hogan & Hartson LLP  
One Tabor Center  
1200 17th Street, Suite 1500  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
(719) 448-5906 Tel  
(303) 899-7333 Fax

11/3, 2008